

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/837,353 | 04/17/2001 | David T. Pollock | ENDOV-55710 | 8883 | | |
| 24201 7 | 7590 08/09/2005 | EXAMINER | | | | |
| | FULWIDER PATTON LEE & UTECHT, LLP | | | BUI, VY Q | | |
| | JGHES CENTER | | | | | |
| 6060 CENTER | RDRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| TENTH FLOOR | | | 3731 | | | |
| LOS ANGELE | ES, CA 90045 | | | | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| i | 1/2 |
|---|-----|
| • | , – |

Advisory Action

13. Other: _

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 09/837,353 | POLLOCK ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Vy Q. Bui | 3731 | |

| Potoro the Eiling of an Annual Priof | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|----------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner [.] | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Vy Q. Bui | 3731 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>20 July 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | |
| · = · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). xtensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 FR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) bove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | |
| OTICE OF APPEAL The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). MENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f, will <u>not</u> be entered | because | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belomphical and/or | w); tter form for appeal by materially re | educing or simplifying | the issues for | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | jected claims. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s |): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | rill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,5,6,21,24 and 26-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3,4,7-20,22,23 a</u> | <u>nd 25</u> . | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | ut bafava av an tha data of filing a b | ulation of Annual will a | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | on of the status of the claims after e | entry is below or attac | ched. | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | t de la NOT atracti | and the second second | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by At least Chutter (6,454,795) disloses curve configuration concentration and the structures of the longitudinal mer other when the stent is compressed. At the same time, | on of adjacent longitudinal membe nbers 10 allow the longitudinal me | rs 10 to evenly distrib mbers 102 to contact | oute stress one to each | | | | |
| members 102 and curved portions connecting pairs of these two adjacent longitudinal members 102 and where | wo adjacent longitudinal members | 102 to define small s | spaces between | | | | |
| structures of the longitudinal members 102 allowed two adjacent longitudinal members 102 to contact to one another as recit | | | | | | | |
| in the claims. Further, in comparison the elected Fig. 6 | | e is no significant str | <u>uctural</u> | | | | |
| difference between the Pinchasik stent and the stent of | | No(s) | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Vy Q. Bui Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3731

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20050804